UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,655	07/06/2007	Yoshitsugu Morita	71,051-039	8161
27305 7590 06/04/2010 HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS PLLC 450 West Fourth Street			EXAMINER	
			NELSON, LINDSAY ANN	
Royal Oak, MI	48067	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/584,655	MORITA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		LINDSAY NELSON	1796			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Fe</u>	hruary 2010				
'=	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٥/١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	·	pa	3 3. 3 . 2 . 3.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 02/26/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. The Applicants argue first that the hydroxyphenyl containing silicone of Ito expresses a linear structure only. However, no where in the specification does Ito limit their silicone to a linear form. Furthermore, Ito describes the R groups of the silicone, that being R4-R10, as being capable of being alkyl groups and alkoxy groups, preferably having 1-5 carbons such as methoxy, ethoxy or propoxy groups (column 6, lines 3-18), which anticipates M, D, T, and Q groups. Thus, the 102(b) rejection based upon the silicones of Ito is a proper rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ito et al, US Patent Number 5,114,994 (hereinafter Ito).
- 3. Regarding claims 1, 3-4, 6-7, 11-12, and 14, Ito discloses an epoxy resin composition for sealing a semiconductor which contains a flexibilizer which is made

Art Unit: 1796

from silicone containing hydroxyphenyl groups on ends of and/or in its molecules which is formed of a copolymer of a denatured silicone oil A having hydroxyphenyl groups and denatured silicone oil B having epoxy groups (abstract). The denatured silicone oil A has the general formula (I)

Wherein R1-R3 is a bivalent organic group, R4-R10 represents alkoxy groups, or hydroxyalkyl groups having 1-10 carbon atoms, a is an integer of from 5 to 300, and b is from 0 to 10 (column 2, lines 38-66). The denatured silicone oil B has the general formula (II)

Art Unit: 1796

$$\begin{array}{c}
R^{12} \\
CH_{2} \longrightarrow CH - R^{11} - S_{1}O - \\
O \qquad \qquad R^{15}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} R^{16} & R^{18} \\ C(-SiO-) & C(-SiO-) \\ R^{17} & R^{12} \\ CH & CH_2 \\ O \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R^{19} \\ -S_1 - R^{13} - CH - CH_2 \\ R^{20} & O \end{bmatrix}$$

Wherein R11-R20 is a bivalent organic group, R14-R20 represents, alkoxy groups or hydroxyalkyl groups having 1-10 carbon atoms, c is an integer of from 5 to 300, and d is from 0 to 10 (column 2 line 67-column 3 line 25). Furthermore, Ito discloses that a hardening accelerator (or curing accelerator) such as imidazole, are added to the composition (column 10, lines 15-23) which reads on the claimed composition.

- 4. Regarding claims 2, 13, and 16 17, Ito further discloses that the composition should further include a filler such as a silica filler, a talc filler or a mica filler (column 10 lines 33 39) which reads on the claimed composition which further comprises a filler.
- 5. Regarding claims 5, 10, 15, and 20, Ito discloses that the components A and B are silicone oil, which is a liquid. While Ito does not disclose that the composition is a liquid or paste, one skilled in the art would realize that, because the compositions are substantially similar to one another, they would have the same properties and thus the composition of Ito would also be liquid or paste.

Art Unit: 1796

6. Regarding claims 8 and 18, Ito further discloses an example wherein 60 parts by weight of silicone oil A and 100 parts by weight of silicone oil B are used (Examples 2 to 5). Furthermore, Ito discloses that the hardening accelerator in the composition should comprise 0.03 to 2 percent by weight of the composition (column 10, lines 24 – 32) which reads on the claimed composition.

7. Regarding claims 9 and 19, Ito further discloses the epoxy resin employed as the main component as a tris(glycidoxyphenyl)methane (column 3 line 63, - column 4 line 1), which reads on the claimed use of glycidoxy groups in the composition.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1796

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LINDSAY NELSON whose telephone number is (571)270-7735. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/LINDSAY NELSON/ Examiner, Art Unit 1796

/RANDY GULAKOWSKI/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796